# COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF MICROLEAKAGE IN CLASS V COMPOSITE RESIN RESTORATIONS USING TWO BULK FILLED RESIN COMPOSITES AND ONE CONVENTIONAL COMPOSITE (GRANDIO)

Khamverdi Z, <sup>1</sup> Fazelian N, <sup>2</sup> Aghaei M<sup>3</sup>

Professor, Dental Research Center, Department of Restorative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Hamadan University of Medical Sceinces, Hamadan, IRAN.
Post Graduate Student, Department of Restorative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Hamadan University of Medical Sceinces, Hamadan, IRAN.
Dentist, Hamadan, IRAN

### ABSTRACT

Aim: Polymerization shrinkage of dental composites are still a challenge and restrictions on the use of which is and One of the factors for treatment failure and need to be replaced and repairing, leakage between restorative material and cavity walls due to the contraction. In order to overcome these problems Bulk filled composites with lower shrinkage introduced. Therefore the aim of this study is to evaluation of Class V restorations using conventional composites and bulk filled composites.

Materials & Method: In this study, in the buccal and lingual surfaces of 30 extracted premolars, V-shaped cavities were prepared and samples using a single bond bonding were restored in three groups as follows: Group 1: Composite bulk filled (Filtek). Group 2: Composite bulk filled (X-tra base). Group 3: conventional composite Grandio. After thermocycling of the samples, microleakage was evaluated on occlusal and gingival of the restorations by dye penetration technique under a microscope with a magnification of 20. Data were analyzed using SPSS software version Sixteenth and Jonckheere and Mann Whitney nonparametric tests where significance level was %0/05.

Results: The results of this study showed that the difference of leakage at occlusal and gingival margins was significant between groups, also the difference of leakage at the edge of occlusal in comparison with gingival in each of the groups was significant. Comparing of the leakage composites in Bulk filled groups 1 and 2 (Filtek and X-tra base) showed a significant correlation with conventional composite However, comparing the two composite Bulk filled had no significant relationship.

Conclusion: The results of this study showed that the use of Bulk filled composites rather than conventional composites in class V cavities expanded on the root surface reduced leakage. But there was no statistical difference between Bulk filled composites (X-tra base and Filtek).

Key words: : Bulk-filled composite, Microleakage,

#### Introduction

Given the considerable progress in resin composite restorative materials, their usage has increased in deep and extensive cavities although the success rate varies. <sup>1,2</sup> All composite restorations have degrees of polymerization shrinkage. <sup>3</sup> Resin composites used in dental restorations have shown shrinkage less than 1 to 6%, demonstrating that the amount depends on things like application techniques, their composition and terms of curing. <sup>4</sup>

The process of passing bacteria and their toxins between the cavity walls and the edge of restoration is Microleakage which is due to the creation of polymerization shrinkage toward stronger binding between enamel - composite and light source. <sup>5,6</sup> Actions such Oblique layering technique or cavity designing by lowest C factor can be performed to reduce polymerization shrinkage and microleakage. <sup>7</sup>

Over time, high viscosity resin composite was introduced which has lower polymerization shrinkage and consequently less leakage due to increased concentration of filler, but due to the high consistency, it is difficult to adaptation the material to the internal walls of the cavity.<sup>8</sup>

Considering recent changes in filler content or organic matrix, a new generation of composites have been introduced as bulk-filled composites. It is alleged that these composites have low polymerization shrinkage and are applicable as a major advantage to reduce microleakage arising from this polymerization shrinkage. 9,10

These new materials have been offered in two forms of high and low viscosity (flowable). Bulk filled composites with low viscosity reduce application time and don't need pack them. Therefore, they have been welcomed by the majority of dentists.<sup>11</sup>

Studies have been done on the cusp deflection of the composites but there is little information about microleakage and their comparison with conventional composites with higher polymerization shrinkage. The results of Moorthy et al<sup>11</sup> showed that flowable bulk filled composites have significant difference in reducing cusp deflection compared with conventional composites which are used Oblique layering technique. There was no significant difference in terms of microleakage between Bulk filled flowable composites and conventional composite.

This is despite the fact that the results of the study by Scotti et al<sup>12</sup> revealed that Bulk filled flowable composite has lower microleakage at gingival margin compared to non-flowable Nanohybrid composite.

Kapoor *et al* assessed the effect of technique of applying the composite on gap formation and the results showed that Bulk filled composites compared to conventional composites showed better marginal adaptation and lower gap formation.<sup>13</sup>

As there is no clear information about the advantages of using Bulk filled composites than conventional composites and conflicting results of previous studies have been reported, therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the marginal microleakage of two different of Bulk filled composites (Filtek and X-tra base) compared to a conventional composite (Grandio).

The null hypothesis of this study: the microleakage of Bulk filled composites (Filtek bulk filled and X-tra base) and conventional composite (Grandio) in class V restorations is the same.

#### Materials & Method

This in vitro study was carried out on human upper premolar teeth that were extracted for orthodontic treatment about 3 months ago. The teeth were stored in formalin solution 10% after extraction. A week before the start of the experiment, the teeth cleaned of debris and soft tissue mass and 30 healthy teeth without cavities, cracks, wear, restoration and congenital anomalies had been chosen and were stored in distilled water at room temperature. V-shaped Class V Cavity in buccal and Lingual surface of each tooth with the width of 3 mm, height of 3 mm and the depth of 3 mm has been prepared using a cylindrical diamond bur No. 835 (Diatech, Scissdertal, Switzerland) with air-water cooling and standard preparation such as occlusal cavity was 2mm above the CEJ and gingival margins was 1mm lower than the CEJ.

Each cavities on the buccal or lingual was considered as a sample. Diamond bur was replaced for preparing each 5 cavities. Cavities were etched with phosphoric acid etching gel 37% (Ultra Etch, Ultradent, South Jordan, USA) for 15 seconds and then rinsed with water and then dried for 10 seconds. Two layers of an adhesive (Single bond2, 3M ESPE, USA) was applied on the cavities for 15 seconds using saturated applicator and thinned with a gentle flow of air and then light cured for 10 seconds. The prepared samples were randomly divided into three groups based on the composite (n = 20).

In Group 1, the cavities were filled bulkly with a bulk filled flowable resin composite (Filtek bulk fill, 3M ESPE, St Paul, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions and were cured for 20 seconds by the LED Demetron A2 curing unit (kerr, USA) operating in standard made at a light intensity 1200mW / cm<sup>2</sup>.

In Group 2, the cavities were mass filled with a flowable composite resin fiber bulk filled X-tra base, VOCO, USA)) according to the manufacturer's instructions and cured for 20 seconds by the same optical device.

In Group 3, the cavities were mass filled with a conventional flowable composite resin light (Grandio, Voco, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions and were cured for 20 seconds by the optical device. The materials used in this study are presented in Table 1. Then finishing and Palyshyng for three groups had been done using polished milling and discs soflex (3M, ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA) and samples were kept in distilled water in order for a week to complete the polymerization.

Samples were subjected to 3000 thermal cycles, between 1  $\pm$  5 and 1  $\pm$  55 ° C for 30 seconds. <sup>14</sup>

Material	Type/color	Manufacturer	Composition
Grandio (Conventional)	Low-viscosity-Flowable composite resto- (A3)	Voca, Germany	Bis-GMA, UDMA, Bis-EMA, TEGMEDA Filters, 69%will 547pet
X-tris base (Bolk Hiled)	low-riscosty-Flowable resin composite for bulk fill Shade: Universal	Vocs, Germany	Methacrylates. Bis-EMA Organic Filton (75% wr, 58% (vol sites
Ffick Z250 (Bulk filled)	Low-riscosity- Flowable resin composite for Irafi: fill (A3)	3M ESPE/St Pwil. MN, USA	Bis-GMA, UOMA, Bis-EMA(6)-Procrylet resins, recontaining quarties see 0.1-5 pers, YW/Iganicle see 0.1-5 per Filters, Inorganic filter busing: approximately (64-5% by weight (42-5% by volume
Adper Single Bond2	Exh & rise albester	JM, ESPESt Paul, MN, USA	HEMA, Bu-GMA, directuorylate, polyacrylic and polylacoric Acid, water, ethanol
Scotchisonal Universal Exchant	Exchang gel	JM, ESPE/St. Paul, MN, USA	37'sPhosphoric acid

Table 1: Materials used in study

# Microleakage Measurement

The root apices of teeth sealed with sticky wax after the thermal cycles to measure microleakage and teeth surface covered with two layers of nail polish to 1mm near the cervical margin. samples were immersed for 72 hours in Fuchsin 2% and then were rinsed under the water for 2 minutes, and dried and longitudinally sectioned in the middle of the restoration buccolingually using diamond disk from the middle of restoration using non-stops machine (Albany, New York 12207. USA)<sup>15</sup> and 20 samples were prepared for each group by 2 persons as a blind direction to measure the microleakage by a stereo microscope (Wild M3C Heerburg, Switzerland) at ×40 magnification and were ranked as follows in occlusal and gingival margins:

- 0 = no influence of color,
- 1 = dye penetration to 1/3 of depth of the cavity,
- 2 =dye penetration to 2/3 of depth of the cavity,
  - 3 = dye penetration to entire depth of the cavity).

Data were statistically analyzed using SPSS software version 20, Jonckheere-test, Mann-Whitney U and Chisqure. The significance level was set at 0.05.

# Results

The mean and standard deviations of the three groups are summarized in Table 2. Results showed that there was a significant difference between the average microleakage in the three groups (p  $\leq$ 0.05). The maximum microleakage is for X-tra base composite (Bulk filled) and the lowest microleakage relates to Grandio composite (Conventional).

Micro Leakage at occlusal and gingival margin is significant (p  $\leq$ 0.05). In each group, micro leakage at gingival margin was significantly more compared to the occlusal margin of the restoration. [Table 3]

Mann-Whitney U analysis and comparison of each two composites showed that no statistically significant difference was observed between the microleakage of the two Bulk filled composite (Filtek and X-tra base). On the other hand, comparing the amount of microleakage of each of the two Grandio composite showed statistically significant difference. Microleakage at occlusal composite

of Filtek and X-tra base of Grandio composite increased, but Bulk filled composites microleakage at the gingival margin was lower than the Grandio composite. [Table 4]

	Degree of Micro-leakage								
Group	0 N(%)	1 N(%)	2 N(%)	3 N(%)	Total N(%)	p value			
Group 1 Filtek	4(10)	16(40)	11(27.5)	9(22.5)	40(100)	0.03%			
Group2 X-tra base	14(35)	17(42.5)	4(10)	5(12.5)	40(100)	0.038%			
Group3 Grandio	11(27.5)	16(40)	7(17.5)	6(15)	40(100)	0.001%			

Table 2: Distribution of leakage in the groups

				Degree of M	licro-leakag	e	
Groups	Site	0 N (%)	1 N (%)	2 N (%)	3 N (%)	Total N (%)	
Group 1	Occlusal	3(15)	10(50)	7(35)	0(0)	20(100)	
Filtek	Gingival	1(5)	6(30)	4(20)	9(45)	20(100)	
Group2 X-tra	Occlusal	6(30)	11(55)	3(15)	0(0)	20(100)	- % 0.001
Base	Gingival	8(40)	6(30)	1(5)	5(25)	20(100)	
Group3 Grandio	Occlusal	7(35)	12(60)	1(5)	0(0)	20(100)	
	Gingival	4(20)	4(20)	6(30)	6(30)	20(100)	

Table 3: Distribution frequency of micro-leakage in studied groups at the occlusal and gingival margins

	1	2	P*
Occlusal	Group I	Group 2	0.253
	(Filtek)	Group 3	0.02
	Group 2	Group 1	0.253
	(X-tra Base)	Group 3	0.005
	Group 3	Group 1	0.02
	(Grandio)	Group 2	0.005
	1	2	P*
	Group !	Group 2	0.301
	(Filtek)	Group 3	0.02
Gingival	Group 2	Group 1	0.301
Gingivai	(X-tra Base)	Group 3	0.016
	Group 3	Group 1	0.02
	(Grandio)	Group 2	0.016

Table 4: Inter group comparision of micro-leakage percent at the occlusal and gingival margins in different study groups

Also pair comparison of microleakage of X-tra base resin composite and Grandio compared with Grandio and Filtek showed an increase, but the second and third levels of X-tra base composite micro leakage showed a decrease compared to Filtek, although there was no statistically significant difference (p>0.05).

## Discussion

The widely use of light-cured composite resins has been developed in restorative dentistry and it's not only for aesthetic reasons but also due to adhesion properties of these materials to the tooth structure and more conservative turning to prepare the cavities.<sup>16</sup> Resin-based composites have a shrinkage caused by polymerization which forms the gap between the tooth and the restoration and subsequent microleakage.<sup>17</sup>

A new generation of composites as bulk-filled composites have been introduced after recent changes in filler content or organic matrix. It is alleged that the composites have low polymerization shrinkage as a major advantage to reduce microleakage caused by the polymerization shrinkage.<sup>9,10</sup>

This study aimed to assess microleakage in class V restorations in bulk filled composite (Filtek and X-tra base) in comparison with a conventional composite (Grandio).

There are various ways to test microleakage, including: Air pressure technique, bacteriological studies, evaluation by Radioisotopes, analysis of materials' activation by neutron, electrochemical studies, using scanning electron microscopy, evaluation by chemical tracer and dye penetration methods. <sup>18</sup>

So far, gold - standard method has not been introduced to evaluate for microleakage. In this study, we used dye penetration because it requires no sophisticated equipment and laboratory and it is a non-destructive method. Thus, longitudinal studies on the margin of restoration will be possible. <sup>19</sup>

Studies suggest the tracer dye to be used that its particle size is equal to or smaller than bacteria (about 2 microns), thus Fuchsine 2% with particle size smaller than the bacteria is used.<sup>20</sup> The fuchsin used in this study can be attached to carious dentin. Hence the samples were selected without carious, restoration or crack.

Although the storage time to dye penetration is different from 10 seconds to 180 days, Ernst *et al*<sup>21</sup> showed that 30 minutes seems to be sufficient for the dye penetration to determine the marginal infiltration. However, penetration time of 48 hours is applied in most papers to determine the gap. In this study, the dye penetration time was 48 hours.

Heat changes are the fundamental factors which could be effective on marginal seal due to differences in coefficient of thermal expansion between the teeth and composite. So in order to create conditions similar to oral environment and stress at a marginal seal, it is necessary to apply thermocycling in microleakage studies.<sup>22</sup> Two temperature ranges are used in applying the thermal cycles as the upper limit of 45-60 °C and lower limit of 4-15°C. Many scholars including Phillips and Peterson (1996) applied 15 °C and 45 °C to heat cycles, while Grieve and his colleagues (1993) have recommended thermocycling at 5 and 55 degrees. Hot and cold baths for 10, 15, 30, 60 or 120 seconds are recommended for immersion of the samples. Harper et al (1980) showed that the actual thermal changes in the mouth are relatively small. And real-time results are obtained when the short duration to be exposed to the whole heat and between the two bathrooms, enough time should be allowed to sample to return body temperature. 19,23 Stress have been occurred during the process of the thermocycling due to a difference in

coefficient of thermal expansion coefficient and tooth structure at the interface between the tooth restoration and restorative materials. <sup>19,24</sup> According to Standard ISO TR 11450 (1994), the samples should be at least 500 cycles at 5 and 55 degrees in the water under thermocycling as well. But some studies have suggested that the number of cycles is very low to be close to clinical conditions. Therefore, in this study, samples after cavity preparations and filling, undertake thermocycling for 3000 times between 5 and 55 ° C for 30 seconds for more similar clinical conditions. <sup>24</sup>

The survey by Sidhu in 1994 showed that marginal seal in some cases which surfaces such as enamel etched with 37% phosphoric acid for 15 seconds, is better than that in not etched dentin surfaces.<sup>25</sup> It was also reported that although etching enamel for 5 seconds creates a bond strength equal to the etching for 15 to 30 seconds, but the pattern to be microleakage barrier.<sup>26</sup> So in this study, 37% phosphoric acid etching for 15 seconds was applied of etching could not inhibit microleakage.

According to Daneshkazemi *et al.*, the total-etch bonding had less leakage at occlusal and gingival margins in class V composite restorations compared to self-etch.<sup>27</sup>

In this study, adper single bond2 was used after etching the cavity for 15 seconds and rinsing for 10 seconds and then thinned with air for a few seconds to remove excess water. In addition, the cavity is not completely dried but bonding is used as Wet Bond that there is a small amount of moisture remaining in the cavity. Since class V composite restorations lack macromechanical retention, marginal integrity will not be affected by macromechanical retention of the restoration. Laboratory microleakage studies is usually done on class V restoration.<sup>24</sup>

In this study, flowable composites were used in all groups. Due to the increased flow properties in flowable composites, this will increase the wettability and easily adapted to inner walls of cavities.

Results of the study show that in all groups, there is some degree of microleakage in all groups and it seems that none of the three studied composites do not provide a complete marginal seal.

The study also showed that microleakage at gingival margin in each of the groups was significantly more compared to the occlusal restoration and it is clear that marginal steal at gingival margin restoration is less than occlusal which is due to better and stronger enamel band in occlusal because the enamel has an inorganic and hemogenous structure. Also the absence of dentinal fluid in its structure has betterment infiltration of monomer in micro tags after etching and resulted in better micromechanical bond. But dentin is a dynamic substrate that contains a significant proportion of the water and organic matter that damages bonding system by the current adhesive process.

The researchers also stated that bond strength in the cementum is lower than teeth enamel. As a result, a higher microleakage at the gingival margin can be expected.<sup>29</sup> De Munck *et al*<sup>24</sup> and Manhart *et al*<sup>30</sup> showed that the Microleakage in Class V restorations in the occlusal margin was significantly different with gingival margin and the microleakage at gingival margin was higher than the occlusal in all studies. The results based on laboratory studies it has been found that microleakage in gingival margin in Class II restoration was more compared to the proximal walls.

Jahankahir analysis showed that there is a significant statistical difference between the marginal occlusal and gingival microleakage. It was shown using Mann-Whitney U and binary analysis that, the microleakage between the two composites (Filtek Bulk filled and X-tra base) statistically showed no significant difference and the study by Morthy and colleagues confirmed these results, but comparing each of the two composite with Grandio composite showed that there is significant difference in the microleakage. It was found that the microleakage of Filtek and X-tra base composites on the occlusal margin is more than Grandio, but the microleakage of Bulk filled composites at the gingival margin is lower than Grandio composite. The reason for this difference can be attributed to lower internal stress caused by internal polymerization. The pre-gel phase of these composites is longer than conventional composites because Polymerization modulator is used in their formulation that can react with CQ and reduce shrinkage and increase the coefficient of linear bands and as a result, lead to lower shrinkage stress and better maintain of marginal seal. On the other hand, the combination of matrix composites is different from each other. Grandio composite has TEGDMA in its matrix whick lacks in Bulk filled composites. TEGDMA is a diluent with low molecular weight and can be formulated in combination with high molecular weight monomers and normally increases stress due to contraction of the composite. Manufacturers tend to reduce shrinkage stress by limiting or eliminating TEGDMA from composite structures.31

Two by two comparison of composites showed that the statistical difference in X-tra base composite microleakage with Grandio composites was higher compared to Grandio and Filtek composites and analyzing the first and second grade micro leakage of X-tra base and Filtek composites and also comparing them with each other indicate that the amount of microleakage in X-tra base is less than Filtek. However, as stated above, the difference between the two were not statistically significant. These differences can be attributed to the chemical composition and characteristics of the organic matrix composites, and filler characteristics such as particle size, shape and distribution within the organic matrix and the ability of these materials to band the enamel and dentin that are structurally different.

Abed *et al* in a study on the degree of conversion and the surface hardness of the Bulk filled composite (X-tra fil and QuiXfil) compared with conventional Grandio composite showed that although X-tra fil composite has the highest

degree of conversion and Grandio composite has the highest surface hardness in Vickers test, a statistically significant difference between the composite and surface hardness was not observed in the degree of conversion and surface hardness between the composite and all composites had sufficient surface hardness. Vickers data analysis was confirmed depth of curing 4 mm for Bulk filled composite.

Marovic *et al*<sup>32</sup> studied the degree of conversion and polymerization shrinkage of Bulk filled flowable composite and showed that the Bulk filled flowable composites have less polymerization shrinkage than the conventional flowable composites and have a higher level of conversion (to a depth of 4 mm).

#### Conclusion

Under the limitations of this study, the use of bulk filled flowable composites compared to conventional composites in class V cavities reduces the amount of microleakage, especially at the dentin margin.

# Reference

- Van Nieuwenhuysen JP, D'Hoore W, Carvalho J, Qvist V. Long-term evaluation of extensive restorations in permanent teeth. J Dent 2003;31(6):395-405.
- Opdam NJ, Bronkhorst EM, Loomans BA, Huysmans MC. 12-year survival of composite vs. amalgam restorations. J Dent Res 2010;89(10):1063-1067.
- Roggendorf MJ, Kramer N, Appelt A, Naumann M, Frankenberger R. Marginal quality of flowable 4-mm base vs. conventionally layered resin composite. J Dent 2011;39(10):643-647.
- Lee MR, Cho BH, Son HH, Um CM, Lee IB. Influence of cavity dimension and restoration methods on the cusp deflection of premolars in composite restoration. Dent Mater 2007;23(3):288-295.
- El-Mowafy O, El-Badrawy W, Eltanty A, Abbasi K, Habib N. Gingival microleakage of Class II resin composite restorations with fiber inserts. Oper Dent 2007;32(3):298-305.
- 6. Spencer P, Ye Q, Park J, Topp EM, Misra A, Marangos O *et al.* Adhesive/Dentin interface: the weak link in the composite restoration. Ann Biomed Eng 2010;38(6):1989-2003.
- M R, Sajjan GS, B N K, Mittal N. Effect of different placement techniques on marginal microleakage of deep class-II cavities restored with two composite resin formulations. J Conserv Dent 2010;13(1):9-15.
- Gowda VB, Sreenivasa Murthy BV, Hegde S, Venkataramanaswamy SD, Pai VS, Krishna R. Evaluation of Gingival Microleakage in Class II Composite Restorations with Different Lining Techniques: An In Vitro Study. Scientifica 2015;2015:1-6.
- Campodonico CE, Tantbirojn D, Olin PS, Versluis A. Cuspal deflection and depth of cure in resin-based composite restorations filled by using bulk, incremental and transtooth-illumination techniques. J Am Dent Assoc 2011;142(10):1176-1182.

- Czasch P, Ilie N. In vitro comparison of mechanical properties and degree of cure of bulk fill composites. Clin Oral Investig 2013;17(1):227-235.
- Moorthy A, Hogg CH, Dowling AH, Grufferty BF, Benetti AR, Benetti AR et al. Cuspal deflection and microleakage in premolar teeth restored with bulk-fill flowable resin-based composite base materials. J Dent 2012;40(6):500-505.
- Scotti N, Comba A, Gambino A, Paolino DS, Alovisi M et al. Microleakage at enamel and dentin margins with a bulk fills flowable resin. Eur J Dent 2014;8(1):1-8.
- Kapoor N, Bahuguna N, Anand S. Influence of composite insertion technique on gap formation. J Conserv Dent 2016;19(1):77-81.
- Goldman M, Simmonds S, Rush R. The usefulness of dye-penetration studies reexamined. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1989;67(3):327-332.
- Fleming GJ, Hall DP, Shortall AC, Burke FJ. Cuspal movement and microleakage in premolar teeth restored with posterior filling materials of varying reported volumetric shrinkage values. J Dent 2005;33(2):139-146.
- Mackenzie L, Shortall AC, Burke FJ. Direct posterior composites: a practical guide. Dent Update 2009;36(2):71-72,74-76,79-80 passim.
- Basavanna RS, Garg A, Kapur R. Evaluation of gingival microleakage of class II resin composite restorations with fiber inserts: An in vitro study. J Conserv Dent 2012;15(2):166-169.
- Taylor MJ, Lynch E. Microleakage. J Dent 1992;20(1):3-10.
- Alani AH, Toh CG. Detection of microleakage around dental restorations: a review. Oper Dent 1997;22(4):173-185.
- Yavuz I, Aydin AH. New method for measurement of surface areas of microleakage at the primary teeth by biomolecule characteristics of methilene blue. J Biotechnol Biotechnol Equip 2005;19(1):181-187.
- 21. Ernst CP, Galler P, Willershausen B, Haller B. Marginal integrity of class V restorations: SEM versus dye penetration. Dent Mater 2008;24(3):319-327.
- 22. International standard organization (ISO) TR 11405. Dental materials Guidance on testing of adhesion to tooth structure First ed. 1994;12-15.
- Bauer JG, Henson JL. Microleakage: a measure of the performance of direct filling materials. Oper Dent 1984;9(1):2-9.
- De Munck J, Van Landuyt K, Peumans M, Poitevin A, Lambrechts P, Braem M et al. A critical review of the durability of adhesion to tooth tissue: methods and results. J Dent Res 2005;84(2):118-132.
- Sidhu SK. The effect of acid-etched dentin on marginal seal. Quintessence Int 1994;25(11):797-800.
- Ferdianakis K. Microleakage reduction from newer esthetic restorative materials in permanent molars. J Clin Pediatr Dent 1998;22(3):221-229.
- Daneshkazemi A, Davari A, Mousavinasab M, Dastjerdi F, Mehtpartou V. Effect of thermocycling

- on microleakage of class V resin composite restorations bonded by self and total etch bondings. SSU Journals 2011;19:1-12.
- Heymann HO, Swift Jr EJ, Ritter AV. Sturdevant's Art & Science of Operative Dentistry-E-Book: Elsevier Health Sciences. 2014
- Siso HS, Kustarci A, Goktolga EG. Microleakage in resin composite restorations after antimicrobial pretreatments: effect of KTP laser, chlorhexidine gluconate and Clearfil Protect Bond. Oper Dent 2009;34(3):321-327.
- Manhart J, Chen HY, Mehl A, Weber K, Hickel R Marginal quality and microleakage of adhesive class V restorations. J Dent 2001;29(2):123-130.
- 31. Sadeghi M, Lynch CD. The effect of flowable materials on the microleakage of Class II composite restorations that extend apical to the cemento-enamel junction. Oper Dent 2009;34(3):306-311.
- Marovic D, Taubock TT, Attin T, Panduric V, Tarle Z. Monomer conversion and shrinkage force kinetics of low-viscosity bulk-fill resin composites. Acta Odontol Scand 2015;73(6):474-480.

# Corresponding Author

#### Dr. Nafiseh Fazelian

Post Graduate Student,

Department of Restorative Dentistry,

School of Dentistry

Hamadan University of Medical Sceinces, Hamadan, IRAN.

Email Id: - nafiseh\_fazelian@edu.umsha.ac.ir